

Maitree Sansad Sutahat, Cuttack

Petitioner

Versus
State of Orissa and others

Opposite Parties

Counter affidavit to the affidavit dated 30.4.2009 filed by Commissioner-Cum-Secretary to Govt, Urban and Housing Development Department.

- I, Rabinarayan Mohapatra, Aged.75 Years, Son of Late Lingaraj Mohapatra, Resident of Sutahat, PO:Buxi Bazar, in the town and district of Cuttack do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows-
- 1. That I am Member and Adviser of the Petitioner-Society and is acquainted with the facts and circumstances of this case.
- 2. That I have gone through the contents of the affidavit Dated 30.4.2009 filed on behalf of the Commissioner –Cum-Secretary to Government of Orissa Housing and Urban Development Department and begs to submit the following as counter affidavit on behalf of the Petitioner-Society. 2.1 That the aforesaid affidavit dated 30.4.2009 filed on behalf of the Commissioner-Cum-Secretary, Government of Orissa, Housing and Urban Development Department before this Hon'ble Court is vague and uncertain in nature and same can not be accepted.
- That although the work programme and bar chart for I & D Works of Chauliaganj and Jobra area at Cuttack is said to have been prepared by Orissa Water Supply and Sewerage Board is enclosed to the said affidavit dated 30.4.2009 shows the nature of work likely to be undertaken by the Government of Orissa for execution of the said work within a period of one year, yet there is no knowing as to whether different departments of the Government inclusive of Administrative Approval, technical sanction, provision of funds and issuance of work order would be made before October,2009 which alone shall enable the execution of work within a year i.e. by May,2010.

Christian



- That the affidavit dated.30.4.2009 also does not show or suggest allocation of funds for the purpose and the extent of contribution of CMC for the smooth completion of the said work within the stipulated period of one year.
- That the facts stated in affidavit dated 30.4.09 in Para-3,4,5 and 6 are vague and uncertain.
- That the facts stated in sub para to para-6 of the affidavit dated 30.4.2009 regarding procurement of non clog type submersible sewerage pumps is also vague and uncertain as neither any copy of the correspondence made with the leading manufacturer outside State has been enclosed nor any tender has been invited for the purpose of procurement of the said item of goods.
- That in that view of the matter the Opposite Parties be directed to furnish the detail information to this Hon'ble Court from time to time so far as it relates to quantum of Govt. Sanction, contribution of the CMC, Allotment and release of funds by the concerned department and issuance of work order and faccessary details failing which the onerous responsibility of making the water of Taladanda Canal free from pollution and infection would be a millennium.

  That the facts stated above are true to the best of my knowledge and

Identified by-

élief.

a mobauty

Advocate

Advocate anomaly anoma

apponent at Curtack on 14-5-10

ha to be thinsel gnied

Certificate

Due to non-availability of Cartridge Paper this Counter affidavit is

R. C. Mishir cheinightypied on thick paper. Currect Town, (Okrissa) Regul No. 21/05

Cuttach

SF-14-5-09

Advocate

Rahi Narayan Mulegetra
Deponent —



## Verification

The above named deponent is being identified by AJOYMOHANTY

Advocate and on solemnly affirmation states that the facts stated in this Counter Affidavit are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.



